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Abstract
This research describes the development and findings of a literature review and analysis meant to inform the international torture and trauma treatment community. The review focuses on interventions that have been used among populations affected by torture, based on a review of journals indexed in commonly used search engines. Work on the review began in September 2008 and continued to be updated until March 2014. In total, 88 studies of interventions for torture victims were identified. Studies ranged from randomized controlled trials utilizing evidence-based treatments to case studies employing non-structured, supportive therapies. Based on the results of the analysis, we have included recommendations for interventions that demonstrate effectiveness in treating survivors of torture and other systematic violence who suffer from PTSD, depression and anxiety. Priorities for mental health research for survivors of torture and other systematic violence are also recommended.
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Introduction
Torture has been documented in over 150 countries but its true incidence is difficult to document as victims may die from injuries incurred by torture and survivors often do not report incidents of torture. The torture experience among affected populations, such as refugees, migrant workers, and prisoners, varies widely with reports ranging from 3% of Bhutanese refugees in Nepal, to 36% of Somali refugees in the US, to 85% of detainees in Turkish prisons. As a particularly severe and varied form of both emotional and physical trauma, torture results in a variety of chronic and multidimensional sequelae. Torture survivors are more likely
than individuals exposed to other forms of violence to report symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), major depression, and elevated anxiety, and these symptoms often have severe consequences for daily functioning long after the events that precipitated them.\textsuperscript{5-11} Other mental health problems include substance abuse\textsuperscript{12} and less well-defined constructs such as long-term personality change.\textsuperscript{13} Although less common in the torture treatment literature, it is also clear that torture survivors suffer from significant physical problems as well. Long-term injuries are common, affecting survivors directly through physical impairment\textsuperscript{14, 15} and indirectly by prolonging the effects of PTSD and depression.\textsuperscript{16} As a result, many treatment programs include medical care and physiotherapy. Although less studied within torture survivor populations, traumatic brain injury (TBI) and its neuropathological sequelae have been documented among torture survivors\textsuperscript{17} and evidence suggests that the prevalence of TBI is nontrivial among survivors seeking treatment.\textsuperscript{18}

In addition to the direct effects of emotional and physical trauma, torture survivors often report substantial financial and social problems. This is especially true for those who flee their home regions in fear of re-victimization. For those seeking refugee status in another country, legal status, language ability, family separation and social isolation in general are often cited as common stressors.\textsuperscript{19} There is evidence to show that addressing these psychosocial problems may reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression.\textsuperscript{20} Those who are displaced within their own country are also likely to face stressors that cause substantial distress. Many torture treatment programs and the agencies that fund them make resolving such ‘psychosocial stressors’ a central feature of proposed interventions for torture survivors.\textsuperscript{21, 22} In addition, many programs have begun to introduce livelihood programs that address the financial challenges torture survivors often face.

A publication from July 2012 by McFarlane and Kaplan presented a review of mental health interventions for adult survivors of torture and trauma; the authors defined survivors of torture and trauma as refugees, asylum seekers who apply to be recognized as refugees, displaced persons, and people living in their country of origin who have suffered persecution and gross human rights violations.\textsuperscript{23} The McFarlane review identified 40 studies published from 1980 to 2010 including 11 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), eight with non-random comparison groups, and 21 single cohort before and after studies. The review found that interventions were largely effective in improving mental health symptoms. Only four out of the 40 studies they identified did not show any significant improvement post-intervention for at least one outcome indicator. They also noted a bias for studying PTSD symptoms in survivors over other outcomes such as depression and anxiety. Additionally, treatment outcomes were affected by time. Most studies showed treatment effects lasting from three to 18 months; studies in which treatment effects were lost after two to three years may have been affected by ongoing insecurity.

McFarlane and Kaplan used the previously developed Quality Assessment Tool to rate the methodological quality of the studies with RCTs having the strongest ratings, followed by studies that used non-random comparisons and finally single cohort studies.\textsuperscript{24} The review, along with their mention of a recent meta-analysis,\textsuperscript{25} found that Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET) and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) had moderate and cautious support as effective
treatments among refugees and asylum seekers, including several RCTs, while also noting that these studies had not been replicated sufficiently outside a small group of researchers.\textsuperscript{25} While McFarlane and Kaplan did not consider it feasible to include case studies in their review, we found that case studies were, along with other observational studies, the most frequently used study design, and we chose to include them in our review. We believe this is an important addition as it may indicate potentially promising interventions that require further study, but that may have been missed by the search methods used in McFarlane and Kaplan. In addition, our review included 16 studies published after 2010 that were not included in the McFarlane and Kaplan review. We also used different search syntax that identified additional studies. Instead of rating the methodological quality of the studies as did McFarlane and Kaplan, we chose to classify each intervention arm within the studies separately by the study design used (described below). This provided an opportunity for a more granular analysis of the impact of specific interventions if studies had several intervention arms.

Purpose
The primary purpose of this research was to clarify the evidence, as of March 2014, concerning the effectiveness of mental health interventions for survivors of torture and systematic violence. In doing so, we want to encourage and enable practitioners to use evidence-based therapies until such time as other therapies have developed a sufficient evidence base. Our secondary purpose was to recommend priorities for research from the mental health interventions that show promise but, as of the date of writing, do not have sufficient evidence for recommending implementation in the field.

Methods
Overview of the review and analysis
The review was carried out by faculty and students at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health for the Victims of Torture Fund (VOT) at the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). Work on the review began in September 2008 and was completed in March 2014. The literature review and analysis included peer-reviewed journal articles describing mental health studies that included victims of torture and other systematic violence as study participants. One criterion for inclusion in the review was that articles provide original evidence referring to the effectiveness of interventions, regardless of the strength of evidence. This criterion led us to exclude review articles unless the review article contained information about a study that we could not obtain and review directly. Review articles also were an important source for identifying original research. Another inclusion criterion was that the study population (at least in part) was exposed to torture or systematic violence by organized groups (including war and refugees from war). We excluded studies whose participants were solely victims of accidents or random and isolated acts of violence, studies where children were the only participants, and studies not published in English.

The research used the following search engines to identify articles for screening and review: PubMed, PsychINFO, Web of Science EMBASE, Scopus, and Global Health. Four comprehensive search strings were created and combined to use with these search engines. These strings – or groups of words – utilized mental health terms, torture terms, intervention terms, and effectiveness terms (Table 1).

The interventions included in each study were classified as to the strength or level of their evidence. For example, an intervention
tested in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) was considered to have stronger
evidence for effectiveness than a study with a
comparison group that was selected purpo-
sively or by convenience, or a study with no
comparison group at all. Studies were coded
in part by graduate students (who conducted
the literature search and coded the type of
evidence and results) and in part by PhD-
trained clinical psychologists (who specifi-
cally coded all treatment-and diagnostic-
related variables using a therapy codebook
written for this database).

Table 1: Search strings used in literature search for the Intervention Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>mental health OR mental disorder OR mental disorders OR mental illness OR mental illnesses OR mental disease OR mental diseases OR anxiety OR depression OR depressive OR ptsd OR post-traumatic stress OR post traumatic stress OR posttraumatic stress OR stress OR distress OR trauma OR traumatic OR panic disorder OR panic disorders OR mood disorder OR mood disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torture</td>
<td>torture OR tortures OR (&quot;human rights&quot; OR prisoner OR prisoners OR systemic OR systemically OR systematic OR systematically) AND (abuse OR abuses OR abuse OR violation OR violations OR violated OR punish OR punishment OR punished))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>intervention OR interventions OR treatment OR treatments OR therapy OR therapies OR therapeutic OR rehabilitation OR program OR programs OR psychotherapy OR psychotherapeutic OR psychoeducation OR counseling OR psychosocial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>effectiveness OR effective OR efficacy OR efficacious OR impact OR impacts OR outcome OR outcomes OR assessment OR improvement OR ineffective OR ineffectiveness OR detrimental OR limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analytic Procedure
We classified the mental health interventions in our database as (1) effective for addressing key mental health problems, (2) promising, (3) unclear, or (4) ineffective. An intervention was classified as effective if there was evidence of improvement in symptoms across multiple randomized control trials that were carried out by more than one investigation team. An intervention was classified as promising if there was evidence of the following: (1) consistent improvement in symptoms but the number of RCTs was small; (2) consistent improvement in symptoms but only among RCTs carried out by investigators that are not independent of each other (need for independent confirmation); or (3) no randomized trials, but other less rigorous studies consistently showing improvements. An intervention was classified as unclear if there was a lack of consistency in the direction of the findings (e.g., some resulted in improvement in symptoms
but some did not, or there were very few studies). A classification of ineffective was given to an intervention if the majority of studies of that intervention demonstrated no improvements in symptoms.

We used Tableau Desktop 8.2 software (Tableau Software, Seattle, WA) for analysis and visualization of the data. We graphed the number of interventions included in the studies by region, country, type of therapy, symptoms or problems targeted by the interventions, and findings of the interventions by the strength or level of evidence.

**Results**

The literature review included 88 studies of interventions for victims of torture and systematic violence. Most studies of interventions for survivors of torture and other systematic violence were conducted in Europe (44%). The remaining studies were conducted in the United States (32%), Africa (12%), Asia (7%), Middle East (3%), and Latin America (2%). We identified only a few research studies from Eastern Europe and only two Latin American studies.

**Figure 1:** Location of studies by country
Mental health interventions in the literature review studies

The number of intervention arms or therapies tested (102) was greater than the number of studies listed; the 88 studies often included more than one intervention. For example, a 2008 randomized trial reported by Alder et al. included the following two intervention arms: critical incident stress debriefing; and a stress management class.\textsuperscript{114}

For ease of summarization, we grouped the intervention arms into types of therapies - therapies with similar theoretical bases (Figure 2). Cognitive behavioral and psychosocial therapies were the most frequently studied types of therapies (37 and 19 out of 102 intervention arms, respectively), followed by multi-disciplinary (defined as one or more therapies plus pharmacotherapy, 18 arms), holistic (10 arms), pharmacotherapy alone (9 arms), multi-therapy (two or more therapies of different families excluding pharmacotherapy, 5 arms), psychodynamic (3 arms), and interpersonal (1 arm).\textsuperscript{ii} Since CBT is such a broad classification, we differentiated multiple therapies within CBT such as CBT with exposure, CBT without exposure, CBT with panic elements, and testimonial. We further differentiated CBT-based treatments that are manualized and have their own line of research, such as NET.

Symptoms and issues targeted by the interventions

PTSD was the most frequently targeted disorder or issue among the mental health intervention arms in the review (78 of 102 intervention arms; Figure 3). After PTSD, depression (55 intervention arms) and anxiety (36 arms) were the most frequently targeted problems. Functioning was targeted in 15 intervention arms. Relatively few intervention arms sought to address pain (12 arms), panic attacks (6 arms), and substance abuse (1 arm). Forty-two intervention arms addressed a number of additional symptoms (i.e. sleep problems), but no specific symptom in any substantial numbers.

Level of evidence provided for interventions in the Intervention Database

Of the 102 intervention arms in the review, 42 intervention arms were compared to another intervention arm and/or a control arm. The control arm was typically a wait list control or treatment as usual. Among the 42 intervention arms with a comparison group, 30 participants were assigned using a randomization process; in the other twelve intervention arms, participants were assigned using a non-random process (purposive or convenience). Of the 30 intervention arms to which participants were assigned randomly, some (12 arms) did not include a comparison group that could act as a true control or counterfactual as to what would have happened to clients without an intervention. For example, Paunovic and Ost randomized participants to receive either CBT with exposure, or exposure-only therapy; there was no control or placebo arm, or evidence-based standard of care, to act as a counterfactual.\textsuperscript{95} In these 12 cases, we classified the level of evidence of the intervention arms as a pre-and post-test measurement of impact rather than an RCT. The rationale for this classification is that most studies recruited participants based on severity of symptoms, diagnoses, or care-seeking behavior.

\textsuperscript{ii} Holistic therapies were defined as treatments outside conventional psychotherapy/medicine and are also known as complimentary or alternative medicine (CAM). Many of these treatments have non-Western origins and most emphasize a strong “mind-body” connection.
**Figure 2:** Number of intervention arms by type of therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Therapy</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychodynamic</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** Number of intervention arms by symptom targeted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom Targeted</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD Targeted</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression Targeted</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Targeted</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function Targeted</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain Targeted</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic Attacks Targeted</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse Targeted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Symptoms Targeted</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There will be a tendency for severe symptoms to become less severe over time even with no intervention (the concept of regression to the mean). Therefore, improvement of symptoms among intervention participants, without a relative comparison to the changes experienced by a control group, is a lower level of evidence of the effectiveness of an intervention compared to an RCT.

Among the 60 intervention arms that did not include a comparison group, the level of evidence for the majority (49 arms) was a pre- and post-test measurement of impact. Five interventions included only a post-test measurement, and 18 provided only anecdotal reports of impact (measure of change based on observations of a few clients). In all interventions, symptoms were measured with structured diagnostic interviews (e.g., Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MINI), questionnaires (e.g., Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25; HSCL-25), both structured diagnostic interviews and questionnaires, or by other methods (i.e., informal interviews).

**Effectiveness of interventions for PTSD symptoms among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence**

**Summary:** CBT with exposure components has sufficient evidence at this time to recommend use by NGO programs to address PTSD. Promising interventions that are currently recommended for priority research among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence with PTSD are the following: Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET); Interpersonal Therapy (IPT); Pharmacotherapy (alone); and,

**Figure 4:** Number of intervention arms targeting PTSD by type of therapy, type of evidence and impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Therapy</th>
<th>Randomized control</th>
<th>Convenience or natural control</th>
<th>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</th>
<th>Post-test measurement of impact</th>
<th>Anecdotal reports of impact</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychodynamic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact on PTSD:
- Worse
- Unclear
- Same
- Improved
Multi-disciplinary therapies (many with CBT and pharmacotherapy components).

Seventy-eight of 102 intervention arms in the review targeted PTSD symptoms. PTSD symptoms were measured with structured diagnostic interviews (17), questionnaires (37), both structured diagnostic interviews and questionnaires (13), or by other methods (9); two intervention arms did not report any type of assessment method. Intervention arms that appear to be effective in addressing PTSD among survivors of torture and other systematic violence belonged to the CBT types of therapies (Figure 4), based on positive findings from multiple RCTs carried out by investigators independent from one another.

The following interventions show promise: NET and Testimonial Therapy or TT, IPT, pharmacotherapy (alone), multi-disciplinary therapies (many with CBT and pharmacotherapy components), and multi-therapy (many with CBT). However, the evidence available for these interventions was primarily from one group of investigators (NET), a small number of RCTs (IPT), or primarily from pre-and post-test measures of impact rather than controlled trials.

Among the CBT group of therapies, 31 of 33 (94%) intervention arms resulted in reduction of PTSD symptoms, 29, 32, 39, 51, 58, 60, 61, 63, 64, 67, 75, 79, 85, 95, 100, 101, 105, 110 CBT with trauma exposure components (e.g., having the client remember and tell a detailed story about their trauma until habituation occurs) had the most evidence of effectiveness (Figure 5). All CBT interventions that involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention Arm</th>
<th>Evidence Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test measurement of impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anecdotal reports of impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT (with exposure)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT (not including exposure)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive processing therapy (with interpreter)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure only therapy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT Biofeedback</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress inoculation training</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT (with panic exposure)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT (with trauma and panic exposure)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT Biofeedback + physical activity</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive processing therapy (w/o interpreter)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Exposure Therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testimonial Therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact on PTSD:
- Same
- Improved

Figure 5: Number of intervention arms targeting PTSD among CBT types of therapies by type of evidence and impact
PTSD symptoms while one yielded no improvement. PTSD symptoms with pre- and post-test measures of impact were studied in two of the four psychosocial intervention arms. Pharmacotherapies included medications typically used to treat symptoms of anxiety, depression, and trauma. Medications used included selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs; e.g., Sertraline), tricyclic antidepressants (e.g., Imipramine), selective serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (e.g., Venlafaxine), alpha-adrenergic blockers (e.g., Prazosin), and antianxiety medication (e.g., Clonazepam).

**Table 1: Number of intervention arms targeting PTSD among psychosocial therapies by type of evidence and impact.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention Arm</th>
<th>Evidence Classification</th>
<th>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Counseling (with psychodynamic orientation)</td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counseling (with mental health services orientation)</td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychoeducation</td>
<td>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counseling (with narrative therapy orientation)</td>
<td>Anecdotal reports of impact</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6: Number of intervention arms targeting PTSD among psychosocial therapies by type of evidence and impact.**

**Impact on PTSD**
- Improved
- Same
- Unclear
- Worse

---

1. The evidence for the psychosocial type of therapies on symptoms of PTSD was mixed, with some intervention arms resulting in improved symptoms while other intervention arms had no effect or worsened symptoms (Figure 6). With respect to the five RCT intervention arms targeting PTSD, the evidence for the psychosocial type of therapies on symptoms of PTSD was mixed, with several or more RCTs from independent investigators included in the psychosocial group of therapies in the database.  

2. Pharmacotherapy multi-disciplinary and multi-therapy types of interventions also showed improvement in PTSD symptoms. Drug therapy included medications typically used to treat symptoms of anxiety, depression, and trauma. Medications included selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs; e.g., Sertraline), tricyclic antidepressants (e.g., Imipramine), selective serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (e.g., Venlafaxine), alpha-adrenergic blockers (e.g., Prazosin), and antianxiety medication (e.g., Clonazepam).
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For the multi-disciplinary and multi-therapy types of therapies, those that showed improvement in PTSD symptoms (primarily studies with pre- and post-test measurements) included a mix of the following components: pharmacotherapy, general counseling, CBT, TT and physiotherapy (see Figure 7). However, several of the same components were also included in multi-disciplinary and multi-therapy therapies that did not result in reductions in PTSD symptoms: pharmacotherapy, general counseling, and physiotherapy. Most multi-disciplinary and multi-therapy therapies that documented reductions in PTSD symptoms included the components of CBT, TT, or pharmacotherapy. However, it is not possible to determine which component(s) were responsible for the effect given the designs of available studies.

All three intervention arms among the holistic types of therapies that targeted PTSD demonstrated reductions in PTSD symptoms, but the type of evidence for all of these interventions was anecdotal and should therefore be interpreted cautiously. The effectiveness of psychodynamic therapies was unclear; unclear was defined as a situation in which the coder was unable to determine, from the content of the article, the results of the study regarding the symptom in question (PTSD in this particular case).

**Effectiveness of interventions for depression symptoms among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence**

**Summary:** As with interventions that address PTSD, CBT with exposure components has sufficient evidence at this time to recommend use by NGO programs targeting depression symptoms among adult survivors of torture and...
other systematic violence. Promising interventions that are currently recommended for priority research with respect to depression are the same as those addressing PTSD: Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET); Interpersonal Therapy (IPT); Pharmacotherapy (alone); Multi-disciplinary therapies (many with CBT and pharmacotherapy components).

Fifty-five intervention arms in the review targeted depression symptoms. Depression symptoms were measured with structured diagnostic interviews, questionnaires, both structured diagnostic interviews and questionnaires, or by other methods; three intervention arms did not report any type of assessment method. The most effective intervention arms for improving depression among survivors of torture and other systematic violence were from the CBT group of therapies (Figure 8). Nineteen of 23 CBT intervention arms reported reduced depression symptoms, including six of seven randomized trials, 11 of 14 pre- and post-test measures, and two of two post-test measure of impact. Among CBT intervention arms, 20 of the 23 intervention arms included exposure; 18 of these intervention arms (including five RCTs) reported reduced depression symptoms. Neither the one intervention arm of CBT with biofeedback, nor the one intervention arm of stress inoculation training resulted in improvements in depression. The two intervention arms that showed no improvement were both NET interventions, one of which was an RCT.

Only one of nine intervention arms among the psychosocial group of therapies showed a reduction in depression (Figure 9). This was an Empowerment Workshop. However, another intervention arm of the Empowerment Workshop, among the nine, documented

**Figure 8:** Number of intervention arms targeting depression by type of therapy, level of evidence, and impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy Type</th>
<th>Randomized control</th>
<th>Convenience or natural control</th>
<th>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</th>
<th>Post-test measure of impact</th>
<th>Anecdotal reports of impact</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychodynamic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impact on Depression:**
- **Unclear**
- **Same**
- **Improved**
no improvement.\textsuperscript{45} The study design for both of these Empowerment Workshops was a pre- and post-test measurement of impact. There were two intervention arms from the psychosocial group that used an RCT study design (a general counseling arm and a stress management class arm) and neither showed improvement in depression symptoms.\textsuperscript{48, 89}

Two general counseling intervention arms (one pre- and post-measure, and one anecdotal report) provided results that were unclear to those persons coding the studies for the literature review.\textsuperscript{70, 107}

There was only one intervention arm using Interpersonal Therapy (IPT): it was a randomized controlled trial, and it resulted in a reduction in depression symptoms.\textsuperscript{82}

Five of six pharmacotherapy (alone) intervention arms documented improved depression symptoms.\textsuperscript{30, 72, 93, 104} These were all intervention arms with a pre- and post-test measurement design only. Six of ten intervention arms among the multi-disciplinary type of therapies documented improved depression symptoms. Of these six, five had a pre- and post-test measurement design, and one had a post-test only design; all six intervention arms included pharmacotherapy components.\textsuperscript{35, 36, 71, 83, 93, 94} There was only one multi-disciplinary intervention arm that included a comparison group (a convenience control); this intervention arm did not result in reductions in depression symptoms.\textsuperscript{112} The components in this intervention arm included physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, general counseling, and legal services.

Three intervention arms among the Holistic Therapy group of therapies addressed depression.\textsuperscript{40, 53, 74} All three were dance/movement intervention arms and provided anecdotal reports of impact. Two documented improved depression symptoms.\textsuperscript{40, 53} The one intervention arm among

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Number of intervention arms targeting depression among interpersonal and psychosocial therapies by type of evidence and impact}
\end{figure}
the psychodynamic therapies (Yalom Group Psychotherapy) showed a reduction in depression symptoms, but this was an anecdotal report.\textsuperscript{91}

**Effectiveness of interventions for anxiety symptoms among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence**

**Summary:** Among interventions for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence that address anxiety symptoms, CBT with exposure components has sufficient evidence at this time to recommend use by NGO programs. Promising interventions that are currently recommended for priority research among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence with anxiety are the following: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy with biofeedback and pharmacotherapy (alone).

Thirty-six intervention arms in the Intervention Database targeted anxiety symptoms. Anxiety symptoms were measured with structured diagnostic interviews (3), questionnaires (24), both structured diagnostic interviews and questionnaires (5), or by other methods (3); one intervention arm did not have any type of assessment method documented.

Intervention arms from the CBT type of therapies appear to be most effective for treating anxiety symptoms among survivors of torture and other systematic violence (Figure 10). Of 16 CBT intervention arms targeting anxiety, 12 resulted in improved anxiety symptoms and five of these 12 were RCTs.\textsuperscript{29, 32, 58, 60, 63, 64, 67, 79, 85, 95, 110} Among the 16 intervention arms within the CBT group of therapies, CBT with exposure had the most evidence for effectiveness (Figure

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy Type</th>
<th>Randomized control</th>
<th>Convenience or natural control</th>
<th>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</th>
<th>Post-test measurement of impact</th>
<th>Anecdotal reports of impact</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychodynamic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 10:** Number of intervention arms targeting anxiety by type of therapy, type of evidence and impact

---

Anxiety
- Unclear
- Same
- Improved
11). Nine of 11 CBT intervention arms that included a component of exposure resulted in improved depression symptoms. Two of five ‘CBT with exposure’ intervention arms documented improved anxiety symptoms, and two of these five were RCTs. Similarly, two ‘exposure-only therapy’ intervention arms, both with pre- and post-test measure designs, documented reductions in anxiety symptoms. One intervention arm of ‘CBT with trauma and panic exposure’ (counted separately from the ‘CBT with exposure’ intervention arms described above) documented improvement in anxiety. One intervention arm of ‘CBT with trauma and panic exposure’ targeted anxiety but the level of evidence was a weaker post-test measurement. Two NET intervention arms (one RCT, one pre- and post-test measure) targeting anxiety did not document improvement in anxiety symptoms.

Three other intervention arms among the CBT group with an RCT design showed improvement in anxiety: CBT not including exposure, CBT with biofeedback (only), and CBT with biofeedback and physical activity. Note that there was another intervention arm of CBT with biofeedback that showed no improvement in anxiety symptoms and this used a pre- and post-test measure design. One intervention arm of stress inoculation therapy, using a pre- and post-test design, showed no change in anxiety.

Studies with a pharmacotherapy (alone) intervention arm for anxiety showed promise but require further research for survivors of torture and other systematic violence. All three of the pharmacotherapy (alone) intervention arms that targeted anxiety resulted in improvement, but the level of evidence of each was limited to a pre- and post-test study design.

None of the seven multi-disciplinary intervention arms targeting anxiety included an RCT (Figure 12). The three
There were six intervention arms among the psychosocial group of therapies that addressed anxiety among other symptoms (Figure 12). Two of these intervention arms (both of general counseling) had a comparison group (one RCT, one convenience control) but neither documented an improvement in anxiety symptoms.\textsuperscript{31, 89} Only two psychosocial intervention arms resulted in improved anxiety symptoms (both Empowerment Workshops) but the evidence was limited to pre- and post-test study designs.\textsuperscript{45, 98}

Both of the two intervention arms from the Holistic (Qigong and T’ai Chi) and Psychodynamic (Yalom Group Psychotherapy) types of therapies documented improvement in anxiety symptoms.\textsuperscript{55, 91} The level of evidence for the two intervention arms was from anecdotal reports of impact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Therapy</th>
<th>Intervention Arm</th>
<th>Evidence Classification</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, general counseling</td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, CBT (with exposure), EMDR</td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>CBT + Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>Pre-and post-test measure of impact</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, general counseling, legal services</td>
<td>Anecdotal reports of impact</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Physiotherapy, general counseling, pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>Anecdotal reports of impact</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>General Counseling + physiotherapy + Social counseling</td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>General Counseling and Pharmacotherapy or just General Co...</td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>Empowerment workshop</td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>General Counseling</td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anxiety

- Unclear
- Same
- Improved

multi-disciplinary intervention arms that targeted anxiety (all pre- and post-test designs) and documented improved anxiety symptoms included the following components: (1) pharmacotherapy and general counseling; (2) pharmacotherapy and CBT (not including exposure); and (3) physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, CBT (with exposure), and EMDR.\textsuperscript{83, 93, 94} Each of these three intervention arms had pharmacotherapy components, two had CBT components, and one each had general counseling or physiotherapy components. Among the four multi-disciplinary intervention arms that did not show improvement (including two trials with a convenience or natural control), four included general counseling components, three had pharmacotherapy components and three included physiotherapy.\textsuperscript{41, 42, 108, 112}
Effectiveness of interventions for functioning among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence

Summary: Among interventions for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence that address dysfunction, there are no interventions with sufficient evidence at this time to recommend use by NGO programs. Promising interventions that are currently recommended for priority research among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence with function loss are the following: Multi-disciplinary therapies (including pharmacotherapy); Pharmacotherapy (alone); and Cognitive Behavioral Therapies (various).

Eighteen intervention arms in the review targeted dysfunction. Dysfunction was measured with questionnaires (15) or by other methods (2); one intervention arm did not report any type of assessment method. Six of seven intervention arms from the CBT group of therapies resulted in improved functioning (Figure 13). One CBT intervention arm was part of an RCT (CBT without exposure) and another (Stress Inoculation Training) used convenience or natural control for comparison. The other five CBT intervention arms from the CBT therapies that did not document improvement in functioning (results unclear) was one of the TT arms using a pre- and post-test study design.

Four of five multi-disciplinary therapy intervention arms resulted in improved function (Figure 13). One of these used a convenience or natural control for comparison (physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, general counseling, plus legal services). Three other multi-disciplinary intervention arms (all pre- and post-test measures) documented improved functioning and had the following components, respectively: (1) pharmacotherapy plus general counseling; and, (2) physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, CBT (with exposure) plus EMDR. Pharmacotherapy was a common component of all three of these multi-disciplinary intervention arms. The findings of one multi-disciplinary intervention arm (pharmacotherapy plus general counseling) were unclear regarding changes in function; the evidence for this intervention arm was from a post-test only study design.

Effectiveness of interventions for pain among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence

Summary: At this time, there are no interventions for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence that address pain with sufficient evidence to recommend use by NGO programs. Promising interventions that are currently recommended for priority research among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence with pain are the following: NET and CBT with biofeedback.

Eight intervention arms in the review targeted pain. Pain was measured with
### Figure 13: Number of intervention arms targeting function by type of therapy, type of evidence and impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Therapy</th>
<th>Evidence Classification</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>Convenience or natural control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Functioning:
- □ Unclear
- □ Improved

### Figure 14: Number of intervention arms targeting pain by type of therapy, type of evidence and impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Therapy</th>
<th>Intervention Arm</th>
<th>Evidence Classification</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Randomized control</td>
<td>Pre- and post-test measure of impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>CBT Biofeedback</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBT Biofeedback + physical activity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrative Exposure Therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Physiotherapy + general counseling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-therapy</td>
<td>Biofeedback + NET</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic Therapy</td>
<td>Traditional Chinese Medicine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pain:
- □ Unclear
- □ Improved
structured diagnostic interviews (1) or questionnaires (7). The majority of the intervention arms in the database that targeted pain symptoms documented improvement (Figure 14). Three of four CBT intervention arms targeting pain symptoms included a component of biofeedback and all showed improvements in pain symptoms: two intervention arms were RCTs and one was a pre- and post-test design. Improvements in pain symptoms were also documented in the following: a NET intervention arm using an RCT design; a multi-disciplinary intervention arm with physiotherapy and general counseling components using a pre- and post-test design; and, a multi-therapy intervention arm with both biofeedback and NET components using a pre- and post-test design. Of the two intervention arms using traditional Chinese medicine, one documented improvement in pain (pre- and post-test design) and the other, an anecdotal report, had unclear findings.

**Effectiveness of interventions for panic attacks among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence**

**Summary:** Among interventions for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence that address panic attacks, no intervention has sufficient evidence at this time to recommend it for use by NGO programs. CBT with exposure components is a research priority for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence with panic attacks.

Six intervention arms targeted panic attacks. Panic attacks were measured primarily with questionnaires, and one study used a structured diagnostic interview; one intervention arm did not report any type of assessment method. There were four intervention arms among the CBT group of therapies that targeted panic attack symptoms. All four intervention arms resulted in improved symptoms. Three of the four intervention arms used an RCT design (two CBT interventions with exposure, and one CBT with panic exposure), and one used a pre- and post-test design (CBT with both trauma and panic exposure); all four of these intervention arms were carried out by the same research team.

Intervention arms of two other types of therapies (Multi-disciplinary, Holistic) targeted panic attacks, but none of these provided clear results as to whether panic attack symptoms changed by the end of treatment, and none included a comparison group. The intervention arm of multi-disciplinary treatment (pharmacotherapy and CBT components) had a pre- and post-test design, and the other intervention arm (dance/movement therapy) was an anecdotal report.

**Effectiveness of interventions for substance abuse among adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence**

**Summary:** Among interventions for adult survivors of torture and other systematic violence that address substance abuse, there are no interventions with sufficient evidence at this time to recommend for use by NGO programs or as research priorities.

One intervention arm that targeted substance abuse was assessed by an informal interview. The impact of this intervention arm of general counseling, based on an anecdotal report, was unclear to those coding the studies.

**Discussion and recommendations**

The quality of reporting in the literature varied considerably. As we might expect, the
quality of documentation of RCTs was generally good. With RCTs, the methods and outcomes were clearly reported in most cases, as publication of RCTs is influenced by the CONSORT Guidelines.117 In contrast, as the level of evidence lowered from RCTs to anecdotal reports of study impact, there was a tendency to be less clear about methods used and study outcomes. We recommend the following standards in the reporting of mental health studies of survivors of torture and other systematic violence for both authors and journals: (1) inclusion and exclusion criteria for study participants that describe the participants’ exposure to torture or systematic violence; (2) in multi-arm comparison studies a description of how participants were allocated to the different arms; (3) a description of the therapy components included in each arm of the study; (4) a description of what local instrument adaptation and testing was done prior to study implementation; (5) locating where readers can obtain a detailed description of the therapy provided in each arm; (6) in multi-arm comparison studies a description of what therapy components, if any, control/comparison participants received; (7) a description of the primary outcome measures and how they were assessed; and (8) a description of how symptoms and other outcome measures changed including with respect to a comparison group, if applicable (effect sizes for RCTs).

We recommend that intervention priorities for use in NGO programs should be those mental health interventions with an evidence base coming from at least several RCTs and carried out by at least more than one team of independent investigators. These should be interventions that are proven to work in multiple settings. If NGOs are going to provide services before research is available in their local settings, the literature suggests using CBT with exposure components is most likely to address symptoms of PTSD, anxiety, and depression in survivors of torture and trauma (Table 2). For NGOs that want to address dysfunction, pain, panic attacks, or substance abuse among survivors of torture and other systematic violence, there is insufficient scientific evidence to warrant recommendation of any particular intervention at the time of writing.

Whatever intervention NGOs or other service providers choose, they need to provide evidence of impact in their program. This is true even when choosing an intervention like CBT that has been proven in multiple other settings as it may not be as effective in a different setting. Therefore, it is important to confirm that outcomes move in the expected direction (via pre and post-testing at minimum, or controlled trials if possible).

There are some important practical implications to the overall recommendation that CBT with exposure is currently the most evidence-based treatment approach for survivors of torture and trauma. First, research manuscripts rarely give details of what was actually included in the treatment (e.g., the components), or how processes such as training and supervision were conducted. These details are critical for an NGO to understand how to implement recommended treatments. We recommend that researchers begin to document and disseminate these details for treatments. For now, NGOs must contact researchers and practitioners for details of particular interventions. Second, CBT interventions have similar components so the recommendation to use CBT comes without a recommendation for a particular “manual”. There is emerging literature on transdiagnostic or
common elements approaches, or approaches that teach a range of elements within evidence-based treatments that can be delivered in varying combinations to address a range of problems.\textsuperscript{118-123} This suggests that there may be some flexibility in using CBT elements.

We propose the following criteria in selecting priorities for mental health research for survivors of torture and other systematic violence in order of decreasing priority: (a) an intervention has shown effectiveness in RCTs but not by independent investigators; (c) an intervention has not been tested with several RCTs but other study designs suggest effectiveness; or (d) an intervention has shown mixed results with several RCTs. We focus on RCTs because many studies (and therefore interventions) in the database demonstrated improvements in mental health symptoms only on the basis of pre- and post-test study designs. They are therefore subject to multiple biases with respect to recruitment, loss in follow-up, impacts of factors outside the program, and where recruitment is on the basis of severity, regression to the mean.

Several outcomes were excluded from our analyses for reasons related to concep-
tual clarity. These were multidimensional symptom measures used to examine change in secondary outcomes and multiple categories of symptoms that were byproducts of interventions targeted at other specific diagnoses.75, 112 By not addressing these outcomes in our recommendations, we in no way mean to dismiss their importance to survivors of torture and other systematic violence – indeed, decreasing symptoms across domains of distress is likely more important to those seeking treatment than eliminating specific diagnoses per se.

**Limitations**

There is a selection bias in the review in that we only included studies published in English, and therefore the noted lack of studies from Latin America, Eastern Europe and some other regions may be due to this limitation. Another limitation is that the level of evidence for the studies was based on the type of study (e.g., RCT, anecdotal report) and not a rating of the quality of the study. Therefore, even if two RCTs had varying quality, they were given the same weight in our recommendations. Finally, the authors were limited to the information in the published articles and therefore were not able to confirm aspects such as the cross-cultural validity and psychometrics of all the instruments used.

**Conclusions**

CBT that includes exposure components shows the best evidence for effectively addressing the mental health symptoms of PTSD, depression, and anxiety among survivors of torture and systematic violence. We recommend that NGOs providing mental health services to survivors of torture and other systematic violence use CBT with exposure components to address PTSD, depression and anxiety, until such time as other interventions are found to be effective, especially from trials in similar populations. There are several priorities for mental health research for survivors of torture and other systematic violence and these priorities differ depending on the presenting problem (Table 2). Overall, we recommend more RCTs due to the limited evidence base for interventions, including collaborations between service providers and researchers. The highest priorities for RCTs are the following interventions that show promise for addressing more than one mental health problem: Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET); Interpersonal Therapy (IPT); pharmacotherapy (alone); and multi-disciplinary therapies (with CBT and pharmacotherapy components).

We hope that this paper provides direction for policy makers, funders, organizations and providers working with populations that have experienced torture and/or trauma. The timing is appropriate as there are now available interventions that have empirical support and have been successfully implemented in lower resource settings with para-professionals following certain training procedures. Knowledge of these interventions and of the need for more research could be used on the policy level to support and encourage the use and investigation of empirically supported treatments. Clinical programs should contact the investigators of such studies to obtain further detailed information on the process of adaptation, training and supervision across various settings. This paper ideally will contribute to wider use of empirically validated, effective treatment for torture and trauma populations and expansion of the evidence base consisting of more rigorous research.
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